### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 234 828

JC 830 424

TITLE

PROE: Program Review in Occupational Education. Handbook and Instrumentation. Michigan Community

INSTITUTION SPONS AGENCY Michigan State Board of Education, Lansing.

Michigan State Dept. of Education, Lansing. Higher

Education Management Services.

PUB DATE

NOTE PUB TYPE 79p.; For related documents, see JC 830 423-429.

Guides - Non-Classroom Use (055) -- Tests/Evaluation

Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.

\*Community Colleges; Data Analysis; Data Collection; \*Evaluation Methods; \*Program Evaluation;

Questionnaires; \*Self Evaluation (Groups); State

Programs; \*Vocational Education

**IDENTIFIERS** 

\*Michigan; \*Program Review in Occupational

Education

## ABSTRACT

Designed for use by Michigan community colleges wishing to conduct a self-study of their occupational programs, this manual provides step-by-step instructions and instrumentation for implementing the Program Review in Occupational Education (PROE) model. PROE is a component of the Michigan Community College Occupational Education Evaluation System (MCCOEES). An initial overview describes PROE, explains how it works, and discusses the additional option of an outside validation team's visit. The next section details the steps involved in a PROE self-study: (1) advance planning, which includes steps such as identifying programs and participants to be involved and events to be scheduled; (2) orientation for self-study participants; (3) completion of PROE questionnaires by faculty, students, and advisory committee members; (4) tabulation and analysis of data to create a program profile; (5) preparation of a written report; and (6) development of a college action plan. The validation visit is described in the next section, which focuses on the selection of the site visit validation team, preparation for the team visit, the validation visit, and the team's written report. The bulk of the manual consists of appendices, which include a suggested schedule and sample procedures for PROE self-studies; an agenda for the orientation meeting; a press release; PROE questionnaires; a suggested report format; and other relevant forms and guidelines. (LL)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document. \*



"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. H. Folkening

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

### \_ U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION FDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization organization organization organization.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not receivably represent official NIE position or policy

## HANDBOOK AND INSTRUMENTATION







Program

Review in

Occupational

Education

michigan community colleges

PROE is a component of the M-600EES (MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGE OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION EVALUATION SYSTEM)

system components are:

STUDENT FLOW: MISIS (MICHIGAN STUDENT INFORMATION SYSTEM)

PROGRAM SELF-STUDY: PROE (PROGRAM REVIEW IN OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION)

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS: ACTIVITIES CLASSIFICATION STRUCTURE

MANAGEMENT PLAN: MANAGER

sponsored by the

MICHIGAN STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

in cooperation with MODAC (MICHIGAN OCCUPATIONAL DEANS ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL)

project managér WEST SHORE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

project consultant

SHIRLEY McGILLICUDDY & ASSOCIATES

DECEMBER 1980

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1 46
PREFACE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
M-CCOEES STEERING COMMITTEE & PROE TASK FORCE	ίν
OVERVIEW	ī
What is PROE	1
How PROE Works	2
Validation of Self Study	3
STEPS TO PROE	· 5
Section I - Self Study	5
Step 1 - Advance Planning	5
Step 2 - Orientation	<u></u>
Step 3 - Completion of Self Study Instruments	7
Step 4 - Self-Study Tabulation and Analysis	10
Step 5 - Written Report	11
Step 6 - College Action	12
Section II - Validation	13
Step 1 - Selection of the Site Visit Validation Team	13
Step 2 - Validation Visit Preparation	<del>i</del> =
Step 3 - Validation Visit	16
Step 4 - Team Written Report	17
APPENDIX <b>ES</b>	
Appendix A - College Self Study and Validation Planning and Forms Order Sheet	18
Appendix B - Schedule and Coordinating Staff for PROE Self-Stud	v 19
Appendix C - Suggested Sampling Procedures for PROE Self-Study	,
Appendix D-1 - Orientation Meeting Agenda	21
Appendix D-2 - Student Letter	22
Appendix D-3 - Advisory Committee Letter	23
Appendix D-1 - Staff Fatton	-24
Appendix D-5 - Press Release	. 25
Appendix E - Sample Control Sheet	26
Appoint a sample commendation	



Appendix F - PROE In	strumentation	27
Appendix F-1 -	Faculty Perceptions of Occupational Program	Ś
Appendix F-2 -	Student Perceptions of Occupational Education Programs	'n
Appendix F-3 -	Advisory Committee Perceptions of Occupation Education Programs	onal
Appendix F-4 -	Summary Profile By Site Visit Team	
Appendix G - Self-Stud	dy Tabulation	28
	of Standards of Program Quality and ent Group Input	30
Appendix I - Report of	Self-Study Suggested Format	31
Appendix J - Occupati	onal Education Action Plan	33
Appendix K - PROE Fe	eedback	34
Appendix L - Schedule Self-Stud	and Coordinating Staff for PROE dy and Validation Visit	36
Appendix M = Detailed	Validation Visit Schedule	37

Appendix N - Arrangements Check-Off List

Page

38



## PREFACE

The Michigan Community College Occupational Education Evaluation System grew out of an expressed need for a comprehensive planned approach to evaluating community college occupational programs. Guided by a steering committee of local and state community college professionals, the system includes four elements:

- Student Flow Model
- Program Self-Study
- Financial Analysis
- Management Plan

The Steering Committee set the following principles as essential to the development of the system:

## Local focus

- Local initiation of the process
- Local administration of the activity
- Quantitative and qualitative data gathering and study
- Self-study with voluntary validation
- Individual program orientation
- Outcomes to be available as a tool to produce a management plan for action



## State focus

- Quantitative data aggregated for reporting purposes on the state level
- Coordination of data gathering to avoid duplication
- Provision for a continuing committee at the policy level made up of users of the system.

The student flow Michigan Student Information System (MiSIS) and program self-study Program Review in Occupational Education (PROE) models are ready for implementation in 1980. The financial analysis and management planning elements are in the process of development.

PROE provides the procedures and instrumentation for a college to self-study an occupational program through collecting the perceptions of a variety of individuals involved. The system has been field tested by Henry Ford Community College and Mid Michigan Community College in preparation for implementation in 1980. The effectiveness of PROE will depend on continual refinement and revision based on the input of community colleges who voluntarily use the system. We invite your comments and suggestions for improvement and appreciate your support for quality occupational education in Michigan community colleges.

Program Evaluation Task Force





## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Program Review in Occupational Education (PROE) was designed to help Michigan community colleges assess occupational program effectiveness. Many people contributed their time and talents to the development of the system and their efforts are appreciated.

The program evaluation system was sponsored by the Michigan State Department of Education in cooperation with the Michigan Occupational Deans Administrative Council (MODAC), and participating local colleges. Washtenaw Community College served as the project manager during the first year. West Shore Community College is serving as project manager during the second year. Henry Ford Community College and Mid Michigan Community College field tested the system.

A Task Force of community college professionals guided the development of PROE. The contribution of these individuals was significant. Their sensitivity to and concern for community college occupational program characteristics and needs helped to keep the process simple and practical. The work of the Task Force was directed by the Michigan-Community College Occupational Education Evaluation System Steering Committee. The members of both of these groups are listed on the next page.



## MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGE OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION

## EVALUATION SYSTEM STEERING COMMITTEE

### Name

Bruce Blanding
Charles Corrigan
R. Ernest Dear
Thaddeus Diebel
Clovis Ferguson
James Folkening
Andrew Mazzara
Arnold Metz
Gunder Myran, Chairperson
John Shanahan
Robert Steely
William Yankee

## Affiliation

Michigan Department of Education
Mid Michigan Community College
Gogebic Community College
Schoolcraft College
Northwestern Michigan College
Michigan Department of Education
Henry Ford Community College
St. Clair County Community College
Washtenaw Community College
Michigan Department of Education
Kellogg Community College
Northwestern Michigan College
Northwestern Michigan College

## PROGRAM SELF-STUDY TASK FORCE

## Name

Charles Corrigan
R. Ernest Dear
Thaddeus Diebel
James Folkening
Austin Hartshorn
Richard Holmes
Nancy Jobe
Charles Kiefer
Robert Kollin
Betty Ladley
Andrew Mazzara
Marjorie McBrien
Robert Roelofs
Joseph Skupin
Robert Steely, Chairperson

Shirley McGillicuddy, Consultant

## Affiliation

Mid Michigan Community College
Gogebic Community College
Schoolcraft College
Michigan Department of Education
Macomb County Community College
Michigan Department of Education
Monroe County Community College
Washtenaw Community College
Henry Ford Community College
C. S. Mott Community College
Oakland Community College
Henry Ford Community College
Kellogg Community College

Shirley McGillicuddy & Associates

**i** 📜



## **OVERVIEW**

This handbook describes an evaluation system called PROE (Program Review in Occupational Education). Why is that important to you? Through PROE, you can learn the answers to some very important questions. How well are we preparing our students for their future jobs? What can we do to improve our occupational training programs? Are we meeting the needs of industry for entry level employees?

## WHAT IS PROE?

PROE is an evaluation syste. designed especially for community colleges. PROE asks the people involved with occupational education at a college how they feel about their program. Faculty, students, and advisory committee members are asked to provide their views on a questionnaire. Compilations of these perceptions become a profile of the occupational program at your college. This gives you the data and information needed to make better decisions about:

- Changes for program improvement.
- Resource requirements and allocations.
- Future directions for occupational education.

PROE, then, is based on what you and your colleagues know and think about your occupational program. It systematically collects these perceptions and organizes them so you can do something about them.

1.

The tabulation of responses profiles your program:

- Strengths and
- Critical Needs for Improvement

This data is organized into a written report for use at the program level and helps college professionals make decisions about program modification or redirection. PROE data is considered along with information collected through other sources such as MiSIS. For example, PROE questionnaires from current students and MiSIS surveys of students who have left or completed programs provide a wide spectrum of student views on the relevance of occupational education programs to current and future employment.

## VALIDATION OF SELF-STUDY

Your college may have the added service of a visit by a team of your peers who will validate your self-study. Team members are from community colleges, industry, and the community. All are selected because they have some specific expertise in occupational education. All are trained to apply the system.



## **HOW PROE WORKS**

Your college decides it wants to take an intensive look at an occupational program. That decision becomes translated into action. A coordinator for the self-study is appointed - possibly the occupational dean or division or department chair. The coordinator will probably pick some people to help with the process - a Self-study Committee. They will plan for your college self-study. You may want to self-study a single program or several programs at the same time.

All college professionals who will participate in the study, such as occupational faculty, division or department chairpersons, program coordinators attend an orientation meeting. That meeting explains the process, tells you how much of your time it will take, how you will be involved, and covers the procedures. You are given a questionnaire to complete and a deadline date for turning it in. Some faculty are given questionnaires for their students to complete. Advisory committee members, too, are asked for their views.

All of the completed questionnaires are returned to an objective unbiased person for tabulation and analysis. Each responding group gives their opin as on some similar areas of importance to occupational program quality, such as facilities, equipment, placement. Some participants, faculty for example, respond to items they are in the best position to know about such as adaptation of instruction to student needs and interests. The assessment is based on objective standards of program performance and not personnel appraisal. Every effort has been made to remove personal bias from the process.

## STEPS TO PROE

## Section 1 - Self-Study

Successful self-study starts with careful planning and scheduling. As soon as your college decides it wants to do a thorough review of an occupational program, the self-study coordinator and committee are chosen. These key persons become familiar with the procedures and the instruments. Next they identify:

- Programs to be included in PROE.
- Potential participants, using Appendix A as a worksheet.
- Schedule of main events starting with orientation and ending with the action plan, see Appendix B.
- If self-study validation is to be used.

Sampling may be a consideration if such respondent groups as students are sizeable enough to make sampling more realistic. If sampling is to be used, Appendix C is consulted.

After these plans are made, requirements for self-study instruments are determined and the order form is completed and sent to West Shore Community College (see Appendix A). If the college prefers to produce its own instruments, a set of printing masters is available.

# STEP 1 ADVANCE PLANNING

The team visits your college for two or three days and becomes thoroughly familiar with your occupational education program. This is done through:

- Comprehensive review of the self-study.
- Interviews with self-study participants.
- Observations on campus.
- Review of reports and college information.

After the team learns what you are doing in the occupational education program, and what you think about it, they develop a consensus profile of your program. They tell you where and why they agree or disagree with your self-study profile. The team may identify some strengths you are too modest to claim or some weaknesses you're too-close-to to see. These visiting professionals help you sharpen and focus your occupational education profile and set priorities for future action.

On the following pages, PROE is described step-by-step, including the instruments contained in the system and instructions for their placement.

Section I deals with the Self-Study and Section II the Validation Visit by a team of peers if the college elects to include this service.





## STEP 3

## COMPLETION

## OF

## SELF-STUDY

## INSTRUMENTS

The objective of self-study is to have 100% of the participants complete and return the instrument. To achieve this objective a control system is needed. The college coordinator selects an assistant to keep track of:

- Instrument placement.
- Instrument return.
- Follow-up contact to remind participants of the deadline.

Two weeks is generally sufficient time for the self-study. A suggested check sheet for instrument control is shown as Appendix E.

PROE Forms, respondents, and guidelines for placement are as follows:

## FORM 1 '

FACULTY PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

(Yellow) - See Appendix F-1.

Consists of qualitative assessment of program standards for occupational programs and open end responses on program strengths and needs for improvement.

## RESPONDENT:

Division/Department or program managers and faculty involved with a specific program meet to reach consensus ratings on program standards and open end responses OR may complete individual forms. The college determines which approach will be used, and persons to participate in the self-study as part of Advance Planning. Perceptions apply to a specific occupational program offered by the college.

Self-study participation is at its best when those involved understand something about PROE, how they will be involved and why it is important. It is a good idea to tell college personnel who will not be involved and the community about the system. Each group may be oriented in a different way:

E. Sura

Occupational faculty, division/department chairs, program coordinators, administrators, and others who will complete a PROE Form 1 attend an orientation meeting. (See Agenda, Appendix D-1).

## STEP 2 ORIENTATION

• Students and advisory committee members are given explanatory information with the instrument as suggested in Appendixes D-2 and D-3.

- Non-participating college personnel are sent a written announcement from the president, (Appendix D-4).
- A news release (see Appendix D-5) is prepared to orient other college personnel, students, and the community to what is going on.

The orientation meeting is conducted by a knowledgeable person trained in the application of PROE, possibly the college self-study coordinator.



## FORM 4

SUMMARY PROFILE BY SITE VISIT TEAM

(White) - See Appendix F-4.

Consists of qualitative assessment of program standards for an occupational program.

## RESPONDENT:

Site visit team completes consensus ratings on each item on the Form 4. Perceptions apply to a specific occupational program and validate the self-study. (See Section 2, page 13).



## FORM 2

STUDENT PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

(Blue) - See Appendix F-2.

Consists of a short form including various aspects of occupational education experiences and perceptions within the scope of familiarity of students and written comments. Items can be correlated with faculty and advisory committee perceptions.

## RESPONDENT:

Occupational education students in at least the second term of their program. A representative sample of students complete Form 2. Placement of instruments is usually done by selecting classes that are representative of the program. Forms are completed in class and may be administered by a student, a member of the self-study committee, or by the instructor. Completed instruments are collected, sealed in an envelope, labeled with the name of the class or program and forwarded to the college coordinator.

## FORM 3

ADVISORY COMMITTEE PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

(Tan) - See Appendix F-3.

Consists of a short form of perceptions ratings on items important to the occupational preparation of students and within the scope of familiarity of advisory committee members and open end responses on program strengths and needs for improvement and advisory committee utilization.

## RESPONDENT:

All, or a representative sample of t ccupational program advisory committee members con a Form 3. Forms may be completed during a regular many of the committee or may be mailed.



The college professional responsible for data tabulation and analysis prepares a written report and sends copies to appropriate college staff. This step is completed two weeks following the self-study. The report is for the use of the college in planning for future action to improve the quality of the occupational education program(s).

The written report summarizes:

## STEP 5

## WRITTEN

## REPORT

- Occupational program strengths.
- Priority needs for improvement.
- Additional information of value to program professionals.

A suggested format for the written report is shown as Appendix I. Self-study tabulations are appended to the report.

Information included in the self-study along with that from other community colleges involved in PROE during the year is synthesized into a statewide report of occupational education. This data is used to make statewide decisions of importance to occupational education and to meet reporting requirements of the Michigan State Plan for Vocational Education and the federal Vocational Education Amendments of 1976.

Self-study instruments are sent to a center for tabulation and analysis. This may be a college center or an outside service. Data collected through the self-study is organized so it is readily usable and understandable to college personnel and, if applicable, the validation team. Summarizing forms show item by item responses from each participating group.

# STEP 4 SELF-STUDY TABULATION AND

**ANALYSIS** 

Guidelines for Self-Study tabulation are shown in Appendix G.

Correlations of responses from all groups to certain program standards are made. (See Appendix H, Analysis of Standards of Program Quality and Respondent Group Input). Interpretation of the self-study becomes the basis of the written report of occupational program strengths and needs for improvement at the college. The self-study tabulations and written report are the basis for college decisions and future action.



## STEPS TO PROE

## Section II - Validation Visit

A schedule for Self-study incorporating the Validation Visit preparation steps is shown as Appendix L. If a college has self-studied several occupational programs at the same time, it will generally schedule a coordinated validation visit for all programs. The Site Visit Chairperson will coordinate the scheduling and validation activities for all team members, even though dissimilar programs may be involved.

3

STEP V-1
SELECTION
OF SITE VISIT
VALIDATION
TEAM

IF THE COLLEGE WANTS TO HAVE A VISITING TEAM VALIDATE ITS SELF-STUDY, that wish is made known to the Michigan SDE as a part of Advance Planning (see Step 1). Characteristics of the college and the program(s) to be self-studied, special areas of interest and need, and any particular preferences the college may have for site visit team member expertise are discussed. The Community College Services Unit of the Department of Education and the Project Director are available to assist the college with the appointment and training of the team.

Team size will vary depending on the size of the college, number of programs being self-studied, and any additional requirements. Each team will include one or more of the Two weeks after the written report is received, the college self-study committee develops an action plan for occupational program improvement. A suggested format for the plan is contained in Appendix J.

The Action Plan is distributed to all college professionals involved with the program for implementation. A copy of the Action Plan may be requested by the Michigan SDE for use in statewide analysis of occupational education.

# STEP 6 COLLEGE ACTION

College professionals who participated in the self-study complete a form, see Appendix K, that summarizes their impressions of the PROE system. This information is essential to the improvement of the evaluation process. The feedback forms are completed within two weeks after the college receives the written report. Distribution of the feedback form is done in conjunction with the dissemination of the action plan. Feedback forms are sent in sealed envelopes provided to the Project Director for analysis and use in refining the PROE system.

Reassessment of progress in implementing the action plan is the college's responsibility. Scheduled check points are set at the time the plan is developed. On a random selection basis, some colleges participating in PROE may be visited by a representative of the Michigan SDE to determine the influence of the system in achieving program improvement.



following types of persons: occupational dean, occupational teacher, and a lay representative (i.e., representative of business and industry or the community). Members of the team will have expertise in the specific occupational program(s) being studied; support programs and services such as counseling and guidance, placement; special programs/services such as disadvantaged, handicapped, limited non-English speaking; and follow-up systems. The college provides the Michigan SDE with a list of site visit team members as soon as appointments have been confirmed.

STEP V-2
VALIDATION
VISIT
PREPARATION

Prior to visiting the college, validation team members review the self-study and pertinent college materials. The college self-study coordinator, in comperation with the team chairperson, develops a site visit schedule. Self-study participants who completed Form 1 are scheduled to be interviewed by a team member.' Interviews are planned for the convenience of college personnel and usually take about Key college professiona's such as the 45 minutes. president, occupational dean, counseling and guidance and administrators, public information instructional placement officers, counselors may be scheduled for team interviews. Copies of the site visit schedule, (see Appendix M) with the interviewees listed by name and title are provided to the site visit chairperson in sufficient quantity for all team members. The site visit chairperson assigns the interviewers.

The college assists the site visit chairperson in making arrangements for the team. This will include selection of lodging facilities, a meeting room on the campus, parking, and transportation. The arrangements check-off list, Appendix N, is used as a guide.

# STEP V-3 VALIDATION VISIT

The site visit will take two or three days depending on the size of the college and the scope and direction of the program(s) being reviewed. For a single program, two days are generally sufficient. To validate the college's selfthe team develops its own perceptions of occupational education performance through interview, observation, and study of college documents and reports. The team develops a consensus profile of their perceptions The team also reaches of the occupational program. consensus on strengths and critical needs for improvement. Team consensus ratings are recorded on Form 4. Team perceptions are reported at an oral meeting to which selfstudy participants are invited by the college. This session is scheduled in the afternoon of the last day of the site visit.



STEP V-4
TEAM
WRITTEN
REPORT

Two weeks following the completion of the site visit, a confirming written report is sent to the college president. The report identifies strengths and critical needs for improvement for each program self-study being validated and any general observations helpful to the college. Appended to the report are: team consensus profile of the occupational program, a list of team members, and a schedule of site visit activities. Distribution of the report to professionals participating in the self-study is the responsibility of the college. Generally, the site-visit team report will be distributed with the college self-study report. (For written report suggested format see Appendix I.)

PROE MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGES	
COLLEGE SELF-STUDY AND VALIDATION PLA	NNING AND FORMS ORDER SHEET
College:	, Date:, 19
College Contact:	Title:
Mailing Address:	Telephone: ( )
Programs Included in Self-Study:	
	Planned Planned* Number

Form	Rēspondent(s)	Number	Planned Number of Respondents	Planned* Number of Interviews	Number of Forms Required
1	Occupational Faculty Div. & Dept. Chairs		¥		
	Instructors Generalists Occupational Administrators	; ;	3 -		
	Counselors Other			<i>i</i>	
2	Occupational Students (Beyond 1st Semester)		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3	Advisory Committees			**	·

- \* Complete only if validation Visit requested.
- \*\* Will be interviewed informally. No planned schedule needed.
- 1. All\_persons receiving Form 1 should have received a formal orientation to PROE by college designate.

SEND ONE COPY OF THIS COMPLETED FORM TO:

MISIS/PROE PROJECT DIRECTOR WEST SHORE COMMUNITY COLLEGE 3000 N. STILES RD. SCOTTVILLE, MI 49454



		TY COLLEGE					
SCHEDULE	AND COO	RDINATING	STAFF	FOR	PR0E	SELF-STUDY -	Colle

College	
Program(s)	, <b>c</b>

STEPS	ACTION	PLANNED SCHEDULE (WEEKS FROM STEP 7)	DATES
i	College requests PROE	-10	
2	College appoints self-study coordinator and committee	-10	
3	Ādvancē planning	· - 8	:
4	Orien#ation _	- 6	
5	Completion of self-study instruments	4	-
6	Self-study tabulation and analysis	- 2	;
7.	Written report distributed	0,	
8	College completes action plan	+ 2	
9	College feedback	+ 2	
10	Assessment of action plan accomplishments	+ 1 Yēar	

## PROE COORDINATING STAFF:

	Name	Telepho	one
College Self-study Coordinator		- 1	
Sēlf-study Committee	- — — <u>— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —</u>		
		,	ē,
i			
5			

College Address



## SUGGESTED SAMPLING PROCEDURES FOR PROE SELF-STUDY

## When Sampling Is Needed

Sampling should be used only when it appears to be the most practical approach for the program self-study. In no case is it advisable to resort to sampling in groups of 100 persons or fewer. For most programs, sampling will not be necessary.

If the number of respondents exceeds 100, however, a sample should be drawn. It is much sounder to utilize sampling and work for a 100% response than to overextend by distributing the instruments in such wholesale quantity that comprehensive follow-up becomes impossible.

Where these conditions apply, the method of sampling to be adopted will have been agreed upon during advance planning. Students will most likely be the only responding group it may be advisable to sample.

## A defensible procedure for sampling students is:

- 1. Determine the number of students in your universe (e.g., total number of full-time students in occupational program in second semester courses or beyond).
- 2. By program, figure the percentage of the universe in that unit and the number of classes to be included in the sample.
- 3. List the pertinent classes in which these students are enrolled.
- 4. Using skip numbers within each program, identify the classes to be sampled. The assumption is that occupational classes are about the same size. If a class is small (e.g., under 15) or large (e.g., over 30), technically an adjustment should be made to assure that the number of respondents is representative.

## Some important points to note:

- 1. For any sample to be representative of a population within desired probability limits, the sample must be selected randomly, i.e., every member of the population being sampled must have the same chance of being selected in the sample.
- 2. Sample size is much more important than what percent it is of the population. A ten percent sample of ten is meaningless; a ten percent sample of 100,000 is wasteful.



## ORIENTATION MEETING AGENDA

- 1. Distribution of copies of "PROE" brochure.
- 2. Introduction of PROE site visit chairperson by appropriate college person (if applicable).
- 3. Team chairperson or college representative shows PROE slide/tape presentation which provides history and explanation of the system.
- 4. Team chairperson's or college representative's explanatory comments reemphasizing some of the vital points made in the handout, and touching on other pertinent considerations. Suggested topics include:
  - a. The involvement of many people like those attending the meeting (community college professionals throughout the state) in the development of PROE.
  - b. The resultant PROE instrumentation, consisting of a set of perceptions items, each of which has been determined by people like those at the meeting to be a critical indicator regarding the quality and availability of community college occupational education.
  - c. The resultent PROE procedures, in which each participating college's self-study of its occupational education programs and services is the key element.
  - d. Self-study participants: occupational faculty, counselors, administrators, students, members of the college's occupational education advisory committees and the degree of involvement of each.
  - The scheduled dates of the validation team's visit (if applicable) to the college and, briefly, what the visit will involve (extensive interviewing on the campus and in the community; a look at programs, services, facilities; study of various college documents; presentation of the team's oral report).
  - f. The fact that all findings of the college's self-study and of the team's validation visit will be sent to the college president in writing and will be the basis for the development of an action plan by the college self-study committee.
  - g. Some kinds of matters on which the team will and will not report.
- 5. College self-study coordinator's explanatory comments dealing with the self-study schedule, the importance of each college respondent's role in the self-study, and plans for distribution, collection, and control of the perceptions instruments.
- 6. Question-and-answer period.
- 7. Team chairperson's closing remarks.



STUDENT LETTER (On College Letterhead)

TO BE READ TO CLASSES PARTICIPATING IN THE SELF-STUDY

We are in the process of studying our (Name of Program) to learn what we can do to make it better. For this study we are using a system called PROE (Program Review in Occupational Education). Student views are very important.

Please take the next few minutes to complete the questionnaire that has just been given to you. You need not sign your name, but do indicate the name of the program in which you are enrolled. Most students enrolled in (Name of Program) at (Name of College) will be participating in the study.

In addition to students, others taking part in the review are teachers, administrators, and members of our advisory committees. All of the answers to the various questionnaires will be tabulated for analysis.

Our goal, of course, is to make sure we're doing everything we can for the career success of our students. Your cooperation will be greatly appreciated.

Thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

(College President or Occupational Dean)



ADVISORY COMMITTEE LETTER (On College Letterhead)

We are in the process of evaluating our (Name of Program) to learn what may need to be done to make it more effective. For this study, we are using a system called PROE (Program Review in Occupational Education).

Advisory committee views are very important to us. It would help if you would take a few minutes to complete the attached form and return it in the envelope provided.

At a future meeting of the advisory committee we will report the results of the study to you.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

(Appropriate College Administrator)



PRESS RELEASE

How well is (Name of College) preparing occupational education students for their chosen careers?

A comprehensive college appraisal has been undertaken to determine the answer to that question, President (Name) announced today.

Many men and women, both on the campus and in the community, are participating in the self-study process, according to President (Name). They include occupational education instructors, college administrators, students, and members of college advisory committees.

To accomplish the study, the college is using a statewide service sponsored by the Michigan State Department of Education in cooperation with the Michigan Occupational Deans Administrative Council. The service is called PROE (Program Review in Occupational Education).

"This is an extremely important project for (Name of College)", President (Name) explained. "Our purpose is to identify areas of strength and needs for improvement in our (Name of Program(s) being studied) so that we may more effectively meet the needs of present and future students".



## STAFF LETTER (On College Letterhead)

## TO ALL COLLEGE PERSONNEL:

We are participating in a comprehensive appraisal of the effectiveness of occupational education offered by (Name of College): This appraisal is largely a self-study, involving many college personnel - instructors and administrators - as well as students and people in the community who serve on our occupational education advisory committees.

Our purpose is to identify areas of strength and needs for improvement, so that we may plan for future action and allocation of resources. The study will also meet the requirements for program evaluation mandated by the Michigan State Plan for Vocational Education and the Vocational Education Amendments of 1976.

In addition to our college, other Michigan community colleges will be participating in the self-appraisal this year. For compatibility of data and consistency of results, we will be using the PROE (Program Review in Occupational Education) process. PROE is a tested, proven system sponsored by the Michigan Department of Education in cooperation with the Michigan Occupational Deans Administrative Council.

In order for the self-appraisal to be meaningful and of value to us as we plan for the future, the thoughtful participation of all college personnel is essential. Some of you will be active participants in the self-study, others will play a less involved role. Your cooperation in this effort will be appreciated.

Note: Additional paragraph for use if appropriate. To assist us, a team of professionals may visit our campus. Please do what you can to be of help to any of these visitors to our college.

(College President)



## SAMPLE CONTROL SHEET (MAY BE ADAPTED BY COLLEGE)

Ident. No.*	Respondent(s)	* Orien-	*	Form	Form	Follow-up Required	Feedback**
.40.	arespondent(s)	tation	Inter- view	Sent	Returned	Required	Sent Returned
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,						- ζ
	•			-			
<del></del>	-	,				<u>.</u>	
				·		_	<u> </u>
<del>- :</del>							<u> </u>
•							
	,				:		
				,			
<del></del>	16				-		
					1. E.		
			1			1	
		. :				1	
		·				1.	
						:	
					<u> </u>		
		-		1			

If applicable. Note: Orientation refers to presentation and discussion. To be completed only by persons returning Form 1.



## APPENDIX F

## PROE INSTRUMENTATION

- F-1 Faculty Perceptions of Occupational Education Programs
- F-2 Student Perceptions of Occupational Education Programs
- F-3 Advisory Committee Perceptions of Occupational Education Programs
- F-4 Summary Profile by Site Visit Team







COLLEGE	• •	
COELEGL=		

# FACULTY PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

#### INSTRUCTIONS TO RESPONDENTS

On the following pages you are asked to give your perceptions of your occupational program (such as registered nursing, automotive technology, secretarial science). The items you are asked to rate are grouped into the major components of the Program Review in Occupation al Education (PROE) system, namely:

- Goals and Objectives
- Processes
- Resources

Rate each item by checking your best judgment on a five point scale ranging from poor to excellent. Only check one answer per item. A "Don't Know" column has been provided in the event you really don't have sufficient information to rate an item. Space has been provided for you to note comments that may help to clarify your ratings or to indicate modifications of a standard to make it more relevant for your program.

Criteria for excellent and poor ratings are provided for each item. Excellent represents a nearly ideal or exemplary situation; poor, one of serious inadequacy. As a guide, ratings may be made with the following in mind:

EXCELLENT means ideal, top 5 to 10% GOOD is a strong rating, top 1/3rd ACCEPTABLE is average, the middle 1/3rd BELOW EXPECTATIONS is only fair, bottom 1/3rd POOR is seriously inadequate, bottom 5 to 10%

This form may be completed as a consensus evaluation by the principal persons involved with a specific occupational program. Examples of such persons would be instructors, department or division chairpersons, program coordinators, and administrators such as occupational dean. If preferred, respondents may complete individual forms.

To help with tabulation of responses, please provide the information requested below before completing your rating.

PROGRAM TITLE	USOE CO	DE # =		<u> </u>		
PERSONS PARTICIPATING IN CONSENSUS EVALUATION	N OR INDIV	IDUAL COM	PLETING T	HIS FO	RM:	
Namē	Title	<u>.</u>		<del></del>	<del></del>	<u> </u>
•						
		;		:		. ,
		. š				

33

FAC	ULTY PERCEPTIONS OF CUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS		Meybunch Inch		3	Acceptable	() (8) 5	Ercellen	Pomit Know	(Please	note exp or needs ent)	planatory
_	ALS AND OBJECTIVES  Participation in Development of College Occupational Education Program Plan  Excellent—Administrators and/or other supervisory personnel involved in developing and revising the college plan for this occupational program seek and respond to faculty, student and community input.  Poor—Development of the plan for this program is basically the work of one or two persons in the college.	1					3		• •		: :	لرر
2.	Program Goals  Excellent—Written goals for this program state realistic quicomes (such as planned enrollments, completions, placements) and are used as one measure of program effectiveness.  Poor—No written goals exist for this program:	2			د -		-		; سر: سرترس			·
3.	Course Objectives <u>Excellent</u> —Written measurable objectives have been developed for all occupational courses in this program and are used to plan and organize instruction. <u>Poor</u> —No written objectives have been developed for courses in this program.	3								• ;	· · ·	:
4:	Competency Based Performance Objectives  Excellent—Competency based performance objectives are on file in writing, consistent with employment standards, and tell students what to expect and help faculty pace instruction.  Poor—Competency based performance objectives have not been developed for courses in this program.			,								. : .
<b>5</b> .	Use of Competency Based Performance Objectives  Excellent—Competency based performance objectives are distributed to students and used to assess student progress.  Peor—Competency based performance objectives are not used with students for progress evaluation nor are students aware that they exist.	5								<b>.</b>	•	
6.	Use of Information on Labor Market Needs  Excellent—Current data on labor market needs and emerging trends in job openings are systematically used in developing and evaluating this program.  Poor—Labor market data is not used in planning or evaluation.	ē			-				·			
7.	Use of Information on Job Performance Requirements  Excellent—Current data on job performance requirements and trends are systematically used in developing and evaluating this program and content of its courses.  Poor—Job performance requirements information has not been collected for use in planning and evaluating.	7 40		·			ò		:		ζ.	•

**Poor**—Equipment for this program is outmoded and in insufficient quantity to support quality instruction.

#### **PROE**

Michigan Community Colleges

# FACULTY PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

Please answer the following: (Use back of page and extra sheets if necessary).

1: What are the chief occupational education strengths of your program?

2. What are the major needs for improvement in your program and what action is required to achieve these improvements?

PLEASE IDENTIFY THE POSITION OF THE PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM AND THE OCCUPATIONAL PROGRAM (such as registered nursing, data processing).

Check One:	•	Program:		
Division/Department Cha	ir			· ·
Faculty				
Counselor			4.7	
G'her, please specify:			,* •	
RĬC.	•			



Michigan Community Colleges

# STUDENT PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

College	<u></u>	 	
	3		
Title of			•

											·
	eck statement that best describes your objective for attending Prepare to get a job	Ing the college:   Personal Interest									
GOO ACO BEL POO	STRUCTIONS: Rate each item using the following guide: ELLENT means nearly ideal top 5 to 10% DD is a strong rating, top one-third EEPTABLE is average; the middle-third OW EXPECIATIONS is only fair, bottom one-third OR is seriously inadequate, bottom 5 to 10% Comment column has been provided if you wish to explain your rating	·	I Junes	\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	3	A Acceptable	P / 1	Juan 1	San'i Kana	COMM	ENTS
_	ease rate each item below:		<del>                                     </del>	-							<u></u>
	Courses in your occupational program are:  • Available and conveniently located.	1								,	<del>-</del>
	Based on realistic prerequisites.	2		<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
_	Available at moderate cost:	3_		<u> </u>							
2.	Written objectives for courses in your occupational program:  •Are available to students.					_		••			: 
_	• Describe what you will learn in the course.	<u></u> 5									
	<ul> <li>Are used by the instructor to keep you aware of your progress:</li> </ul>	6			_				· · · · ·		
3.	Teaching methods, procedures and course content:  • Meet your occupational needs, interests and objectives:	7. –	,								: 
	Provide supervised practice for developing job skills.								·		
4.	Related courses (such as English, Mathematics, Science) are:  - Pertinent to occupational instruction.	9	• • -						-		
_	• Current and meaningful to you:	10								<u> </u>	
5.	Work experience (or clinical experience) in your occupational program is:  Readily available at convenient locations.									<u> </u>	·
_	Readily available to both day and evening students.	12									
	Coordinated with classroom instruction.	13		Ŀ							
	Coordinated with employer supervision.	14		_					,		<u> </u>
6.	Career planning information:  • Meets your needs and interests.	15				<u>_</u> _				·	·
	Helps you plan your program.	16		_	-					<u> </u>	<u>"</u>
	Helps you make career decisions and choices.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				<del>- ; .</del>	
_										ALLAY.	s lanca



over, please

_

ROE ichigan Community Colleges		ליני נייני		ئۇ	Acceptable		,,eut	Don't Know	;		
TUDENT PERCEPTIONS OF COUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS		I mer		3	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	8 8 5	Excellent		COMI	MENTS	
Career planning information (Continued):     Helps you understand your rights and responsibilities as	; _										:
an employee.  • Helps you evaluate job opportunities in relation to	18		_	-			-				
salary, benefits and conditions of employment.	19			<u> </u>	-	-	-				
<ul><li>Is provided by knowledgeable, interested staff.</li><li>Explains nontraditional occupational opportunities for</li></ul>	20			_			-				•4.
both sexes.  7. Job success information on former students in	21									<u> </u>	
your occupational program:  Is provided to help you make career decisions.	22										
Indicates how many job opportunities there are in your	_23	<u>:</u> _									
occupation.  Identifies where these job opportunities are located.	24			-							
• Tells about job advancement opportunities.	25							:			
3. Placement services are available to:	26										
Help you find employment opportunities.      Prepare you to apply for a job.	27		-		<u> </u>						
Occupational instructors:	. 4.7				$\vdash$		<del>                                     </del>				_
Know the subject matter and occupational requirements.	28									<u>.</u>	
Are available to provide help when you need it.     *	29		-								
<ul> <li>Provide instruction so it is interesting and understandable.</li> </ul>	30					-					
<ol> <li>Instructional support services (such as tutor- ing, lab assistance) are:</li> </ol>								!			
Available to meet your needs and interests:	_31				<b>!</b> —				ı		
Provided by knowledgeable, interested staff.	32							_	_	<u>.</u>	
<ul> <li>Instructional lecture and laboratory facilities:</li> <li>Provide adequate lighting, ventilation, heating, power, and other utilities.</li> </ul>								,			
Include enough work stations for the number of students enrolled.	34	<u>:</u>		-							
Are safe, functional, and well maintained.	35	;							;		
Are available on an equal basis for all students.	36										
. Instructional equipment is:	37		_						•		
• Current and representative of industry • In sufficient quantity to avoid long delays in use.	37 38		= =	-						<del></del>	_
Safe and in good condition.											
Instructional materials (e.g., textbooks,	39	-							<del> </del>	•	
reference books, supplies) are:										į	
Available and conveniently located for use as needed.	40						_				_
Current and meaningful to the subject.	41			4	13						
Not biased toward "traditional" sex roles.	42							<u>-                                      </u>			_
Available at reasonable cost.	43										



Michigan Community Colleges

College		 			_
ŗ	2			,	
Advisory Committee		 _	•		•

# ADVISORY COMMITTEE PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

	. <u></u>	-			<u>c</u>				<del></del>			
EXCEL GOOD ACCE BELOI POOR	RUCTIONS: Rate each item using the following guide:  LENT means nearly ideal, top 5 to 10% is a strong rating, top one-third  PTABLE is average, the middle-third  VEXPECTATIONS is only fair, bottom one-third is seriously inadequate, bottom 5 to 10%  ment column has been provided if you wish to explain your rating.		to line line line line line line line line	8 2 2	Section 3	and all all all all all all all all all al	8 / 1 5	Creellen	With Man	COM	MENTS	
											·	
Plea	se rate each item below:	i										
1.	Instructional program content and quality are:											
,	<ul> <li>Based on performance objectives that represent job skills and knowledges required for successful entry level employment.</li> </ul>	1		·	-							
	<ul> <li>Designed to provide students with practical job application experience.</li> </ul>	2										_
	<ul> <li>Responsive to upgrading and retraining needs of employed persons.</li> </ul>	3_		٠						<u> </u>		
	<ul> <li>Periodically reviewed and revised to keep current with changing job practices and technology.</li> </ul>	4										
 2.	Instructional equipment is:  •Well maintained:	- 5									:	
	•Current and representative of that used on the job.	6										
3.	Instructional facilities:	_			-							
	<ul> <li>Provide adequate lighting, ventilation, heating, power, and other utilities.</li> </ul>	7		_ ==							· -	
	<ul> <li>Allocate sufficient space to support quality instruction.</li> </ul>	8								<u> </u>	<u></u>	
	• Meet essential health and safety standards.	_ 9			_			<u> </u>			<del></del>	
<b>4</b> .	Placement:	- -	, İ				:					
	Services are available to students completing the program.	10						٠,			•	
	<ul> <li>Job opportunities exist for students completing the program or leaving with marketable skills.</li> </ul>	11	-	_ <u>.</u>	_							· 
5. -	Follow-up studies on program completers and leavers (Students with marketable skills):							,				
	Demonstrate that students are prepared for entry level employment.	12								: <u>:</u> - =		
	<ul> <li>Collect information on job success and failure of former students.</li> </ul>	13									·	
0	<ul> <li>Provide information used to review and, where war- ranted, revise the program.</li> </ul>	14			þυ		<u> </u>					

# **PROE**

Michigan Community Colleges

# ADVISORY COMMITTEE PERCEPTIONS OF OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

College	 •	·
Advisory Committee		
(Specify field)		

Please answer the following:

1. What are the major strengths of the college's occupational program in your field(s)?

2. What are the major needs for improvement in the college's occupational program in your field(s)?

Do you have additional comments or suggestions for the program or for utilization of the advisory committee?
 If so, please state briefly.



College		
Oonogo	::::	

IAHC	enigan Community Colleges	PI	ogra	am_ 	7	<del>- ,</del>	7	7. 7		
	MMARY PROFILE SITE VISIT TEAM		/i	8/2	3	Acceptable 4	8 8 5	Greenlen	(Team re	MENTS cords strengths is for improve-
GC	ALS AND OBJECTIVES .								ي.	
1.	Participation in Development of College Occupational Education Program Plan  Excellent—Administrators and/or other supervisory personnel involved in developing and revising the college plan for this occupational program seek and respond to faculty, student and community input.  Poor—Development of the plan for this program is basically the work of one or two persons in the college.	· ·						·		
2.	Program Goals  Excellent—Written goals for this program state, ealistic outcomes (such as planned enrollments, completions, placements) and are used as one measure of program effectiveness.  Poor—No written goals exist for this program.	; 							:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3.	Course Objectives <u>Excellent</u> —Written measurable objectives have been developed for all occupational courses in this program and are used to plan and organize instruction. <u>Poor</u> —No written objectives have been developed for courses in this program.	,			•		£ .		<i>2</i> *	
4.	Competency Based Performance Objectives <u>Excellent</u> —Competency based performance objectives are on file in writing, consistent with employment standards, and tell students what to expect and help faculty pace instruction. <u>Poor</u> —Competency based performance objectives have not been developed for courses in this program.							i v	·	
5.	Use of Competency Based Performance									, . : !
Tautine .	Objectives <u>Excellent</u> —Competency based performance objectives are distributed to students and used to assess student progress. <u>Poor.</u> —Competency based performance objectives are not used with students for progress evaluation nor are students aware that they exist.								· ·	
6.	Use of Information on Labor Market Needs <u>Excellent</u> —Current data on labor market needs and emerging trends in job openings are systematically used in developing and evaluating this program.  Peor—Labor market data is not used in planning or evaluation.						-		i	
7.	Use of Information on Job Performance Requirements  Excellent—Current data on job performance requirements and trends are systematically used in developing and evaluating this program and content of its courses.  Poor—Job performance requirements information has not been collected for use in planning and evaluating.	52								,



Michigan Community Colleges		-	/		CCeptable	//		CO	MMENT	S
SUMMARY PROFILE BY SITE VISIT TEAM		/1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3	ر الخوالي 4	\$ / 5			records str eds for im	
RESOURCES (Continued)  33. Maintenance and Safety of Instructional Equipment  Excellent—Equipment used for this program is operational, safe, and well maintained:  Poor—Equipment used for this program is often not operable and is unsafe.	·				_					
34. Adequacy of Instructional Facilities  Excellent—Instructional facilities (excluding equipment) meet the program objectives and student needs, are functional and provide maximum flexibility and safe working conditions.  Poor—Facilities for this program generally are restrictive, disfunctional, or overcrowded.							<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>	\ 
35. Scheduling of Instructional Facilities  Excellent—Scheduling of facilities and equipment for this program is planned to maximize use and be consistent with quality instruction.  Poor—Facilities and equipment for this program are significantly under- or over-scheduled.										
36. Adequacy and Availability of Instructional Materials and Supplies  Excellent—Instructional materials and supplies are readily available and in sufficient quantity to support quality instruction.  Poor—Materials and supplies in this program are limited in amount, generally outdated, and lack relevance to program and student needs.	,						•		V 4	
37. Adequacy and Availability of Learning Resources  Excellent—Learning resources for this program are available and accessible to students, current and relevant to the occupation, and selected to avoid sex bias and stereotyping.  Poor—Learning resources for this program are outdated, limited in quantity, and lack relevance to the occupation.	-							<b>4</b> L		
38. Use of Advisory Committees  Excellent—The advisory committee for this program is active and representative of the occupation.  Poor—The advisory committee for this program is not representative of the occupation and rarely meets.	· ,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	;					<del></del>		
29. Provisions in Current Operating Budget  Excellent—Adequate funds are allocated in the college operating budget to support achievement of approved program objectives. Allocations are planned to consider instructor budget input.  Poor—Funds provided are seriously inadequate in relation to approved objectives for this program.										
40. Provisions in Capital Outlay Budget for Equipment  Excellent—Funds are allocated in a planned effort to provide for needed new equipment and for equipment replacement and repair, consistent with the objectives for this program and based on instructor input.  Poor—Equipment needs in this program are almost totally unmet in the capital outlay budget:								. : .	:	

#### APPENDIX G

#### SELF-STUDY TABULATION

Guidelines for Self-Study Tabulation

Form A(1) - Summary of Responses on PROE - Form 1

Form B(4-1) - Median Responses on PROE Form 4 Team Profile

Form C(2) - Summary of Student Responses on PROE Form 2

Form D(3) - Summary of Advisory Committee Responses on PROE Form 3





#### GUIDELINES FOR SELF-STUDY TABULATION

Tabulation of self-study instruments may be done manually or by computer. The following tabulation groupings are suggested:

Form A(1): Tabulation of distribution of responses by item on Form 1. After recording ratings from respondents to all items, percentages should be figured for the final tabulation to show distribution of responses. Tabulations are appended to the written report to the college and, if applicable, distributed to the Site Visit team.

Form B(4-1): Median (mid-point) responses by item from Form 1 are recorded on a Form 4. The Validation Team records consensus ratings for each item on the Form noting rationale for marked differences with self-study ratings in the Comment column. Completed form is distributed during the oral report and appended to the written report to the college.

Form C(2): Tabulation of student responses by item on Form 2. Percent of respondents in each rating, item by item, are recorded. Median (mid-point) responses are highlighted. Final form is appended to the written report to the college and is distributed to the Site Visit team at the organizational meeting if applicable.

Form D(3). Tabulation of advisory committee responses by item on the Form 3. Percent of respondents in each rating item by item are recorded. Median (midpoint) responses are highlighted. Final form is appended to the written report to the college and distributed to the Site Visit team at the organizational meeting if applicable.

Note: To derive median or mid-point, note rating at which 50% of respondents assessments fall above or below (or at same rating).



# FORM A(1) - SUMMARY OF RESPONSES ON PROE FORM 1

Provision for Career Planning

Adequacy of Career Planning

Employability Information

й <u>а</u>	0	Poor	Below Expe	ct.	Accept.		Excel.
		Show	% res	pons	e in each		
į.	Part. in Devel. O.E. Program Plan	2%	3	/.	1.%	10%	. 5%
Ž:	Progrām Goāls		;	j	5%	80	15%
3.	Course Objectives		5	%	-	_	/ 10%
4.	Competency Based Performance Objectives			·	_ •	90,	% 5%. % 5%.
<u>-</u> 5.	Use of Competency Objectives				107		, -, .
$\bar{6}$ .	Labor Market Needs Info						
7.	Job Performance Requirements Info.					į	
8.	Profession/Industry Standards	•		`			
9.	Use of Student Follow-Up Info.				Ōæ	)	
10.	Adaptation of Instruction		~		M		
11.	Relevance of Supportive Courses	7	10	V.	علتهم		
12.	Coordination with Agencies and Programs						
13.	Work Experience						
14:	Program Availability and Accessibility						
ī <sub>5</sub> .	Provision for Disadvantaged						
īō.	Provision for Handicapped						
17.	Sex Equity		• .				
18.	Program Advisement						

Don't Know

19.

20.

21.

#### PROE MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGES

# FORM-A(1) - SUMMARY OF RESPONSES ON PROE FORM 1

Poor Below Accept. Good Excel. Don't

Expec.
Show % response in each rating.

- 22. Placement Effectiveness
- 23. Student Follow-Up System
- 24. Program Promotion
- 25. Leadership and Coordination
- 26. Qualifications of Administrators
- 27. Instructional Staffing
- 28. Qualifications of Instructional Staff
- 29. Professional Development
- 30. Instructional Support Staff
- 31. Clerical Support Staff
- 32. Adequacy of Instructional Equipment
- 33. Maintenance and Safety of Equipment
- 34. Adequacy of Facilities
- 35. Scheduling of Facilities
- 36. Instructional Materials and Supplies
- 37. Learning Resources
- 38. Advisory Committees
- 39. Operating Budget
- 40. Equipment Budget

Sample



College

Mic	chigan Community Colleges	Prograi	m			
SU BY	MMARY PROFILE SITE VISIT TEAM n B (4-1)	1	//	Second of the se	§ 5	COMMENTS (Team records strengths and needs for improvement)
GC	ALS AND OBJECTIVES					
i.	Participation in Development of College Occupational Education Program Plan <u>Excellent</u> —Administrators and/or other supervisory personnel involved in developing and revising the college plan for this occupational program seek and respond to faculty, student and community input. <u>Poor</u> —Development of the plan for this program is basically the work of one or two persons in the college.	,		_	ai	9
2.	Program Goals  Excellent—Written goals for this program state realistic outcomes (such as planned enrollments, completions, placements) and are used as one measure of program effectiveness.  Poor—No written goals exist for this program.		7	,	Č	goals sout state outcomes for the program
3. :	Course Objectives  Excellent—Written measurable objectives have been developed for all occupational courses in this program and are used to plan and organize instruction.  Poor—No written objectives have been developed for courses in this program.		T	C		;;
4.	Competency Based Performance Objectiv s <u>Excellent</u> —Competency based performance objectives are on file in writing, consistent with employment standards, and tell students what to expect and help faculty pace instruction. <u>Poor</u> —Competency based performance objectives have not been developed for courses in this program.		Ċ		7	parformance objectives are very florough and cont- plete and show a practical the with gob standards
5.	Use of Competency Based Performance Objectives  Excellent—Competency based performance objectives are distributed to students and used to assess student progress.  Poor—Competency based performance objectives are not used with students for progress evaluation nor are students aware that they exist.					
6.	Use of Information on Labor Market Needs <u>Excellent</u> —Current data on labor market needs and emerging trends in job openings are systematically used in developing and evaluating this program. <u>Poor</u> —Labor market data is not used in planning or evaluation.					
7.	Use of Information on Job Performance Requirements  Excellent—Current data on job performance requirements and trends are systematically used in developing and evaluating this program and content of its courses.  Poor—Job performance requirements information has not been collected for use in planning and evaluating.					
0			63			

# FORM C(2) - SUMMARY OF STUDENT RESPONSES ON PROE FORM 2

# N 150

- 1.1 Course Available and Conveniently Located
- 1.2 Realistic Frerequisites
- 1.3 Moderate Cost
- 2.4 Written Course Objective Available
- 2.5 Written Course Coctive Describe Learning
- 2.6 Written Course Objective Used to Inform of Progress
- 3.7 Teaching Meets Occupation Objective
- 3.8 Teaching Developes Job Skills
- 4.9 Related Course Pertinence
- 4.10 Related Course Current
- 5.11 Work Experience Available Convenient Locations
- 5.12 Work Experience Available Day/Evening
- 5.13 Work Experience Coord with Instruct
- 5.14 Work Experience Gord with Employer Supervision

Poor	Below Expect.	Accept:		Excel.	Don't Know
Show	% response	in each	rating	. Line	median
5	7	25	40	23	
Ιō	12	35	40	Ā	1
٠	5	īŠ	26	52	

Sample

# FORM D(3) - SUMMARY OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE RESPONSES ON PROE FORM 3

N	9	Poor	Below Expect.	Accept.	7 -		Don't Know
	4	Show	% respons				
1:1	Program Content Based on Entry Level Job Skills.		3%	2.% 35%	7%	7%	
1.2	Students Provided Job Application Experience.		74	35%	43%	5%	10%
1.3	Responds to Upgrading and	34.	25%	45%	30%	2%	<b>-</b> i
1.4	Retraining Needs.  Kept Current with Changing Job Practices.	1%	15%	3.%	3%	46%	5%

Representative Facilities have Adequate Lighting, 2.3 Ventilating, Heating, Power, etc. 2.4 Sufficient Space Allocated. 2.5 Health and Safety Standards Met

4.10 Placement Services Available

2.1 Equipment Well Maintained.

Equipment Current and

2.2

4.11 Job Opportunities Exist

5.12 Follow-Up Shows Students Prepared

5.13 College Collects Information on Job Success of Former Students.

5.14 Follow-Up Information Used to Review and Revise Program.



PROE MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGES

## ANALYSIS OF STANDARDS OF PROGRAM QUALITY AND RESPONDENT GROUP INPUT

PROGRAM STANDARD	RESPONDENT FORM #	1	2	ADV-COMM.
		Std. #	Standard # (Key Punch #)	(Key Punch #)
Participation in developing O.E.			·	,
program plan.		1		
Program Goals		2	•	
Course Objectives		3		
Competency Based Performance				
Objectives		<u> </u>	2(4)(6)	1(1)
Use of Competency Based Performance Ob	jēctives	5	2(5)	
Labor Market Information		6		1(3)
Job Performance Requirements Informati	on	7		. 1(4)
Profession/Industry Standards		8		
Use of Student Follow-Up Information		9		5(14)
Adaptation of Instruction		10	3	
Relevance of Supportive Courses		11	4	
Coordination with Agencies & Programs		12		
Provision for Work Experience		13	5	1(2)
Program Availability & Accessibility		14		
Provision for Disadvantaged	·	15		
Provision_for_Handicapped		16	<u> </u>	
Efforts to Achieve Sex Equity		17 18	7/17	
- Provision for Program Advisement			6(16)	
Provision for Career Planning & Guidance			6(17)	
Adequacy of Care Planning & Guidance		20 21	6(15)(20)	
Provision for Employability Informatio	n	22	6(18)(19) 8	<u> </u>
Placement Effectiveness		23	7	4
Student Follow-Up System		24	/	<u> </u>
Program Promotion _	<del></del>	25	<u> </u>	
Provision for Leadership & Coordinatio Qualifications of Administrators	[1	26		
		27	9(29)	
Instructional Staffing Qualifications of Instructional Staff		28	9(28)(30)	
Professional Development Opportunities		29	3(20)(30)	
Use of Instructional Support Staff		30 31	10	
Use of Clerical Support Staff			10	
Adequacy & Availability of Equipment			12(37)(38)	2(6)
Maintenance & Safety of Equipment			12(39)	2(5)
Adequacy of Instructional Facilities			11(33-35)	3
Scheduling of Instructional Facilities			11(36)	
Instructional Materials & Supplies			13	· · ·
Learning Resources				
Advisory Committees				
Operating Budget		38 39	<u> </u>	
Equipment Budget		40		
		X	<del></del>	X
OPEN END STRENGTHS & NEEDS			1 1	

REPORT OF SELF STUDY (Sugge	ested Format)	
Collēgē:	•	elf-Study:
Program:		
Self Study Coordinator:(Name	<u></u>	
( Name	2)	(Title)
Number of Self-Study Partic	cipants:	• •
Faculty (Form 1)	Students (Form 2)	Advisory Committee Members (Form 3)
Full Time:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part Time:		
Division/Department Chairs:	<b>:</b> ,	
Others, specify:		
General Comments or Factors	Influencing Self-Study:	
•		
Form B(A-1) Profile of me Form C(2) Tabulation of	responses on Form 1. dian responses from Form Student responses. Advisory Committee respo	•
Site Visit Team Profile and	l Validation Report	
Correlation of Responses by	All Participants to Cert	tain Key Standards



#### REPORT OF SELF-STUDY (Continued)

Major Areas of Strength: (Listing of approximately ten standards rated the highest by the self-study participants).

Priority Needs for Improvement: (Listing of approximately ten standards rated lowest by the self-study participants and which, if improved, would have greatest impact on the quality of occupational education).



College	
Program	
Date	

OCCUPATIONAL EDUCATION ACTION PLAN

Action Objective (Priority Ranked)	Estimated Additional Resources (e.g., People, Facilities, Equipment	Estimated Additional Budget	Assignment of Responsibility (Name, Title)	Initiation Date	Completion Date
				-	
•	·	:			
		and the		,	;
		,			
				;	
į.					,
	ς.				
6J				7	J

APPENDIX

PROE Feedback Letter . (On College Letterhead)

(Date)

To:

All Staff Participants, PROE Self-Study

From:

(Collège President)

Please take a few minutes of your time to fill out the attached survey form. Its purpose is to help determine the effectiveness of the services provided through PROE to assist us in our recent occupational education self-study.

I would appreciate your returning your completed form 'o (Place) in the numbered envelope, sealed for confidentiality, by (Date).

Thank you for your cooperation.

NOTE: This request for information should be distributed only to persons who completed PROE Form 1.



	College
FEF	DBACK ON PROE FROM PARTICIPATING COLLEGE PROFESSIONALS
PI <b>co</b> li	E's goal is to improve the quality and availability of occupational education by assisting a age in identifying its occupational program strengths and needs for improvement.
and will Tabi retu	would appreciate your views on your college's PROE self-study. Use back of page additional sheets as necessary. Use the return envelope provided. These envelopes be returned unopened to the Michigan State Department of Education for analysis. Itations and your verbatim responses (typed to insure confidentiality) will be rned to your college president and, if applicable, to the PROE team members who ed your college.  What is your general reaction to your college's PROE self-study?
1.	Poor Below Expectations Acceptable Good Excellent
2.	a. Were the items you were asked to rate appropriate?  Yes No  No, please list your suggestions for change.
	b. Are there standards for program quality that were not included? Yes No If Yes, please list below your suggestion(s) for additional program standards.
<b>3.</b>	What is your general reaction to the PROE validation visit portion of your college's self-study (if applicable)?
	Poor Below Expectations Acceptable Good Excellent
4.	What is your reaction to the written report provided for your program?
	Poor Below Expectations Acceptable Good Excellent
5.	What is your reaction to the Action Plan developed by your college self-study committee?
	Poor Below Expectations Acceptable Good Excellent
6.	Do you have and other suggestions on PROE? Yes No
•	If Yes, please respond below. Use back of sheet and/or extra sheet if necessary.
	During the PROE self-study, I participated in the following ways: (Please Check)
	Attended Orientation Meeting Attended Team's Oral Presentation
	Completed a PROE self-study form None of these Was interviewed by Team Member
	Name and Title (Optional)

Date\_\_



## APPENDIX L

•	College
PROE MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGES	Program(s)
SCHEDULE AND COORDINATING STAFF FOI	R PROE SELF-STUDY AND VALIDATION VISIT

Steps	Action	Planned Schedule (Weeks from Step 10)	Dates
1	College requests PROE	= 13	,
2	College appoints self-study coordinator and committee	- 13	
3	Advance Planning	- 12	
4	College appoints validation team	- 12	
5	Orientation	<b>- 10</b>	
6	Completion of self-study instruments	- 8	
7	Self-study tabulation and analysis	<del>6</del>	
8	College completes validation schedule and sends to Site Visit Chair	- 4	
9	∀থা dation Team visits college	= <u>2</u>	
10	Written report distributed	·	
11	Cottege completes action plan	+ 2	
12	College feedback	+ 2	
13	Assessment of action plan accomplishments	+ 1 Year	e u

#### PROE COORDINATING STAFF:

	Name	Telephone
Site Visit Chairperson		
College Self-study Coordinator		
Self-study Committee		į į

College Address

Team Chairperson's Address:



PROE MICHIGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGES

# PROE DETAILED VALIDATION VISIT SCHEDULE

This detailed validation visit schedule is designed for a team of three. Normally this is all that will be required for validation of a single program self-study. If it is used for a larger or smaller team, it should be modified by the team chairperson and college coordinator when they plan for the team visit.

IMPORTANT: The interviewing pattern is to be determined by the validation visit chairperson and college self-study coordinator. This form should be adapted to their requirements.



### PROE DETAILED VALIDATION VISIT SCHEDULE

	College	<del></del>	
	Program		
	Dates	<del></del>	 :
Day	Date		7
:	Site visit team meets for training		< <
	****	mo	tel.
Day	Date		÷ .
8:00 to 8:45 A.M.	Overview orientation tour of ca	Meeting Place	<u> </u>
	Tour Host:	incouning Place	
	Name	Title	
9:00 to 9:45 A.M.	Individual Interviews (one team representative. Also see 8:00 A.	member interviews one ke M. following day.) <u>1</u> /	y college
	Room College Repre	esentative 2/	eam Member 3/
	Name	Position	

- 1/ Examples: President, dean of instruction, dean of student services, occupational dean, division/department chair.
- 2/ To be completed by college contact prior to site visit.
- 3/ To be completed by team chairperson at site visit team organization meeting.



	Room	College Repre	sentatives	Team Member
	<del> </del>	Name	Position	
	•			
		<u> </u>	= - ·	
			<del></del>	
•			<del></del>	
			<u>,                                    </u>	
0 <b>t</b> o	Individual a	nd/or Group Inter	views (Continued. See	previous instruction)
	Individual a	nd/or Group Inter College Repre	views (Continued. See	previous instruction) Team Member
	_	College Repre	esentatives	
	_			
	_	College Repre	esentatives	
	_	College Repre	Position	
	_	College Repre	Position	
0 to 5 A.M.	_	College Repre	Position	



00 to 15 P.M.	Individual and	d/or Group Intervi	ews (Continued.	See prev	ious instruction).
	Room	College Rep	resentatives		Team Members
		Name	Position		<del>- :</del> ;
÷	-	<u> </u>			
	; " -			_ <del>_</del>	
				<u> </u>	
	-	<del></del>			
			•		
00 to 15 P.M.	examination (	rs work on individ of facilities and/or terviews with stud	documents, vis	its to wo	k stations and
	examination (	of facilities and/or terviews with stud	documents, vis	its to wo	k stations and
	examination employers, in	of facilities and/or terviews with stud	documents, vis lents individually	its to wo	k stations and oups.
	examination employers, in	of facilities and/or terviews with stud See Form 4)	documents, vis lents individually	its to wo	k stations and oups.
	examination employers, in Assignment (	of facilities and/or terviews with stud See Form 4)	documents, vis lents individually	its to wo	k stations and oups.
	examination employers, in Assignment (Coals and Me	of facilities and/or terviews with stud See Form 4)	documents, vis lents individually	its to wo	k stations and oups.
	examination employers, in Assignment (Coals and Me Processes Resources Information f	of facilities and/or iterviews with stud See Form 4) easurable Objective	documents, vis	its to won	k stations and oups.  Team Member
15 P.M.	examination employers, in Assignment () Goals and Me Processes Resources Information f Team membe their percept	of facilities and/or iterviews with students See Form 4) sasurable Objective for written report	documents, vis lents individually es	eir parts	k stations and oups.  Team Member



8:00 P.M. Team meets for a liminary identification of major strongths and critical improvement needs for utilization is oral such written reports. Information for written report is outlined and drafting of this information begins.

\*\*\*\*

Day	Date	<del></del>			
8:00 to 8:45 A.M.	Individual Interview	s. (Continued	d. See Footnote	on Page 1).	
	in Colle	ge Representa	ative	Team Member	
	/		· · ·	- 0	
	Nar	ne	Position	i v	
•	<del> </del>	<del></del>			
9:00 to 12:00 P.M.	Individual Assignme Chairperson or designarrative report.	nts (Continue gnate comple	d. See previous interest drafting infor	nstruction). mation for written	
12:00 P.M.	Luncheon (No organ	ized luncheor	i).		<i>.</i> ·
1:00 to 2:45 P.M.	Team members mee	et to reach co iew draft of i	nsensus on Form nformation for w	4, plan details for ritten report.	
3:00 to 4:00 P.M.	PROE oral presenta	tion. Location	on	<u> </u>	
4:15 to	Team mēēts to com	plētē debrief	ing. Location		

#### Distribution

Chairperson
College Contact
Michigan State Department of Education

To be reproduced by college for distribution to each team member at organization meeting unless otherwise specified by chairperson.



RAN	GEMENTS CHECK-OFF LIST		•		?
Ho	tel Accommodations for Team	<u>-</u>			
a.	Request commercial or "state	" rates.			5 <sub>4</sub>
b.	Two nights (i.e., site visit Tue 12/4 & 5).	sWed., 12/5	& 6, reserve	rooms for Me	onday-Tuesaus
ċ.	Room arrangements preferred person for use as meeting room next best thing). Specify belo	m; or, all sing	les, plus confe	erence room.	. (If unavailab
	Make all reservations in namarrival.			* .	
~	Number of rooms required: C	hairperson's	accommodation	ons plus	singles.
	Number of rooms reserved	singles @	\$, i	neluding tax	and
		-	, il	•	
	Hotel				
			, ,		
	Address				
	Nearest Airport			-	: :
	Transportation available from	airport to ho	tel: (Specify	type and rate	<u> </u>
	Name 1	Offic	e		Phone
info	ormation Materials Required			c	·
	son at college responsible for p	preparing ma	terials for mai	ling and for	team meeting
 Nar	me_		_ Phone		
a.	To be mailed direct to each te	am member,	upon receipt.		
	College Catalog Program Curriculum Class Schedule Campus and Community Maps Parking Permits Staff & Enrollment Forms College Voc. Ed. Plan		Team	(Enter numb	er of member
b. '	To be available for team revie	w in team me	eting room on		
j	Bud <sub>s</sub> et	Camp		campus. (Si	ingle copies or
	Dud <sub>b</sub> st		us/district tel	- ,	ingle copies or
_	Advisory Committee Minutes		us/district tel f advisory con none numbers.	ephone book	
-			f advisory con none numbers.	ephone book	

ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges

OCT 14 1983

